

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/042,867	Applicant(s) POLIKARPUS ET AL.
	Examiner Kaj K Olsen	Art Unit 1753

All Participants:(1) Kaj K Olsen.**Status of Application:** amended

(3) _____.

(2) Douglas Fekete.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

45


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner contacted the applicant's representative because claim 45 depended from a canceled claim. The applicant's representative in a phone message gave the examiner permission to change the dependency to claim 41 instead.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)